

Joint Research Fund Rubric 2022

Applicant Last Names:

Score Total: # / 50

Review Role: Primary / Secondary

Alignment to JRF Goals:

Section Score: # / 15

1. Mission. Please rate how well the application (a) addresses Chapin Hall's mission to improve the well-being of children, youth, families, and their communities; and (b) makes a compelling case with potential implications for policy and practice to benefit communities experiencing adversity.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

2. Partnership. Please rate the potential of this application to (a) enable a partnership that would not exist without the Joint Research Fund; and (b) utilize the complementary expertise of the co-investigators.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

3. Opportunity. Please rate the extent to which the application (a) supports an emerging scholar/junior investigator; and/or (b) represents an important opportunity for the investigator to undertake work that could not be funded by other means.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

Research Questions, Methods, and Approach:

Section Score: # / 15

4. Research Questions. Please rate the extent to which the research questions/hypotheses are clearly indicated and appropriate to the stated aims.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

5. Method. Please rate the extent to which the approach and methods are feasible and aligned to research questions.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

6. Collection/Analysis Plan. Please rate the extent to which the application presents measures, data collection procedures, and/or an analytic plan that are clear and feasible.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

7. An IRB plan is included and acceptable.

Yes No

Optional Comments:

8. If the application requires a partnership with an external organization for data collection or data access/use, a support letter is included.

Yes No N/A

Optional Comments:

Impact:

Section Score: # / 10

9. Community Benefit. Please rate the extent to which the application addresses disparities related to life experience, culture, race, gender, ethnicity, and class and/or provides a clear community benefit.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

10. Required Deliverables. The proposal specifies production of one or more of the following deliverables: Journal article or working paper, policy brief, or related research proposal to an external agency or funder.

Yes No

Optional Comments:

11. Future Collaboration. Proposed deliverables suggest potential for future collaboration and/or funded projects.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

Capacity of the Team:

Section Score: # / 10

12. Personnel. Does the description of the partners make it apparent that the team possesses the requisite experience and capabilities, and has planned to commit sufficient time, to competently implement the proposed research?

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

13. CVs are included for each Co-PI and any sub-recipients/consultants.

Yes No

Optional Comments:

14. Budget/Resources. Please rate the extent to which the project plan (a) specifies the facilities, equipment, supplies, personnel, and other resources required; and (b) shows support for the implementation and success of the proposed activities.

Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent

Optional Comments:

Areas of Strength and Improvement (Required)

15. Please briefly describe the major strengths of this proposal:

16. Please briefly describe weaknesses or areas for improvement: